



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,907	10/04/2000	Roozbeth Atarius	0119-018	7241
42015	7590	12/21/2007	EXAMINER	
POTOMAC PATENT GROUP PLLC			MEW, KEVIN D	
P. O. BOX 270			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FREDERICKSBURG, VA 22404			2616	
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/21/2007		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

tammy@ppglaw.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/678,907	ATARIUS ET AL.	
	Examiner Kevin Mew	Art Unit 2616	

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### **Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 October 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### **Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 10,11,15,25,26,30-58,62 and 66-82 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 10-11 25-26 31-58 62 and 66-72 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 15,30 and 73-82 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### **Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### **Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### **Attachment(s)**

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

***Final Action***

***Response to Amendment***

1. Applicant's Arguments/Remarks filed on 10/24/2007 regarding claims 15, 30, 73-82 have been considered and claims 10-11, 15, 25-26, 30-58, 62, 66-82 are currently pending. Claims 1-9, 12-14, 16-24, 27-29, 59-61, 63-65 have been canceled by applicant.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 15, 30, 73-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Easton (USP 5,764,687) in view of Sih et al. (USP 6,608,858).

Regarding claims 15, 30, Easton discloses a transceiver to perform a method for processing code division multiple access signals received (**analog transmitter and receiver for demodulating a signal in a spread spectrum multiple access communication system**, see lines 1-4, col. 2, lines 41-43, col. 8 and lines 23-26, col. 7, and element 16) through at least one multipath propagation channel (**tracks the time offset of the multipath peak**, see col. 9, lines 1-6) to produce at least one relative frequency error estimate (**frequency error**, see element 44, Fig. 3), comprising:

a processor (**analog transmitter and receiver**) for receiving and processing the signals using the local frequency reference oscillator to obtain representative complex numerical

**samples (I and Q channel samples) for processing (analog transmitter and receiver containing a downconverter chain that outputs digitized I and Q channel samples at baseband and the sampling clock used to digitized the received waveform is derived from a voltage controlled local oscillator, see lines 11-15, col. 5 and elements 16, 40);**

**channel estimators for correlating (despread) the complex numerical samples (I and Q chip samples are provided to QPSK despreaders, see elements 104a and 104b, Fig. 3) with shifts of a locally generated despread code (I and Q PN sequences are generated from PN sequence generator, see Fig. 3) and producing a number of complex channel estimates (output of on-time despreaders, see line 53, col. 9 and signals going into Pilot Filters, Fig. 3), each corresponding to a different delayed ray of the at least one multipath propagation channel (I and Q PN sequences are generated from PN sequence generator, which are delayed from their counterpart sequences in the base station by the multipath propagation delay from the base station to the mobile unit, see lines 24-38, col. 9 and element 106, Fig. 3);**

**frequency error estimators (cross product circuits, see element 146, Fig. 3; note that one cross product circuit is used for each finger) for computing a frequency error estimate (frequency error, see element 44, Fig. 3) for each ray based on successive values of a respective one of the channel estimates (each finger makes an estimate of the frequency error using the cross product operator, see lines 39-47, and equation 3, col. 6 and Fig. 3); and**

**at least one summer (frequency error combiner) for performing a weighted summation of the frequency error estimates to provide at least one relative frequency error estimate (frequency error estimate from each finger 44a-c are combined and integrated in the**

**frequency error combiner to generate an integrator output to adjust the clock frequency in order to compensate for the frequency error of the local oscillator, see lines 48-54, col. 6),**

Easton does not explicitly disclose at least two summers for performing weighted summations of groups of the frequency error estimates to provide at least two combined frequency error estimates, wherein: each of the combined frequency error estimates corresponds to a respectively different one of at least two base station transmitters.

However, Sih discloses a RAKE receiver at a subscriber unit for performing frequency tracking (Fig. 7) and communicating with one or more base stations (Fig. 4). The RAKE receiver comprises computing frequency errors  $e(n) - e(n)$  in each of the fingers 700A-700N, respectively (col. 6, lines 13-20 and Fig. 7), summers 702A-702N (at least two summers) for performing weighted summations (performing subtracting the frequency error of each finger from the weighted average, respectively, col. 6, lines 35-37) of groups of the frequency error estimates (frequency errors  $e(n) - e(n)$ , col. 6, lines 35-37) to provide at least two combined frequency error estimates (to provide at least two combined frequency error estimates, each combined frequency error estimate provided by the difference between finger frequency error and the weighted average, col. 6, lines 35-37 and Fig. 7; note that the differences correspond to the combined frequency error estimates), wherein: each of the combined frequency error estimates (each of the difference between finger frequency error and the weighted average, col. 6, lines 35-37 corresponds to a respective different one of at least two base station transmitters (each frequency error corresponds to a different one of at least two base stations, col. 1, lines 56-65, col. 3, lines 14-62 and Fig. 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the RAKE receiver of Easton with the teaching of Sih such that the RAKE receiver of Easton will comprise at least two summers for performing weighted summations of groups of the frequency error estimates to provide at least two combined frequency error estimates, wherein: each of the combined frequency error estimates corresponds to a respectively different one of at least two base station transmitters.

The motivation to do so is to account for the common frequency offset seen at each finger by providing frequency adjustment specific to that finger through feedback of the frequency error for that finger at the RAKE receiver.

Regarding claims 73, 75, 81, 82, Easton discloses an apparatus to perform the method for estimating a frequency error (**each finger makes an estimate of the frequency error**) between a local frequency reference of a receiver and carrier frequencies of one or more transmitters (**to adjust clock frequency of local oscillator in the analog transmitter and receiver**, see lines 52-54, col. 6) comprising:

frequency error estimators for estimating frequency errors separately for each transmitter (**cross product circuits**, see element 146, Fig. 3; note that one cross product circuit is used for each finger); and

a combiner for combining the frequency error estimates to produce at least one relative frequency error estimate (**frequency error estimate from each finger 44a-c are combined and integrated in the frequency error combiner to generate an integrator output to adjust the clock frequency in order to compensate for the frequency error of the local oscillator**, see lines 48-54, col. 6).

Easton does not explicitly disclose combiners for combining groups of the frequency error estimates to produce at least two combined frequency error estimates.

However, Sih discloses a RAKE receiver at a subscriber unit for performing frequency tracking (Fig. 7) and communicating with one or more base stations (Fig. 4). The RAKE receiver comprises computing frequency errors  $e(n) - e(n)$  in each of the fingers 700A-700N, respectively (col. 6, lines 13-20 and Fig. 7), summers 702A-702N (at least two summers) for performing weighted summations (performing subtracting the frequency error of each finger from the weighted average, respectively, col. 6, lines 35-37) of groups of the frequency error estimates (frequency errors  $e(n) - e(n)$ , col. 6, lines 35-37) to provide at least two combined frequency error estimates (to provide at least two combined frequency error estimates, each combined frequency error estimate provided by the difference between finger frequency error and the weighted average, col. 6, lines 35-37 and Fig. 7; note that the differences correspond to the combined frequency error estimates), wherein: each of the combined frequency error estimates (each of the difference between finger frequency error and the weighted average, col. 6, lines 35-37 corresponds to a respective different one of at least two base station transmitters (each frequency error corresponds to a different one of at least two base stations, col. 1, lines 56-65, col. 3, lines 14-62 and Fig. 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the RAKE receiver of Easton with the teaching of Sih such that the RAKE receiver of Easton will comprise combiners for combining groups of the frequency error estimates to produce at least two combined frequency error estimates.

The motivation to do so is to account for the common frequency offset seen at each finger by providing frequency adjustment specific to that finger through feedback of the frequency error for that finger at the RAKE receiver.

Regarding claims 74 & 76, Easton discloses the apparatus of claim 73 to perform the method of claim 75, further comprising integrating the combined frequency error estimates (see lines 48-49, col. 6).

Regarding claim 77, Easton discloses the transceiver of claim 15, wherein at least one of the base station relative frequency error estimates is formed using two or more fingers of a RAKE receiver (a integrator frequency error estimate output is formed by combining frequency estimates from each finger of a RAKE receiver, see col. 6, lines 28-65).

Regarding claim 78, Easton discloses the method of claim 30, wherein at least one of the base station frequency error estimates is formed using two or more fingers of a RAKE receiver (a integrator frequency error estimate output is formed by combining frequency estimates from each finger of a RAKE receiver, see col. 6, lines 28-65).

Regarding claim 79, Easton discloses the apparatus of claim 73, wherein at least one of the transmitters frequency error estimates is formed using two or more fingers of a RAKE receiver (a integrator frequency error estimate output is formed by combining frequency estimates from each finger of a RAKE receiver, see col. 6, lines 28-65).

Regarding claim 80, Easton discloses the transceiver of claim 75, wherein at least one of the transmitter frequency error estimates is formed using two or more fingers of a RAKE receiver (a integrator frequency error estimate output is formed by combining frequency estimates from each finger of a RAKE receiver, see col. 6, lines 28-65).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

3. Claims 10-11, 25-26, 31-40, 41-58, 62, 66-72 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

In claim 10, a transceiver comprising an error detection decoder for performing an error check on the decoded information bits, and to generate an error or no-error indication, wherein the relative frequency error estimate is only used to control the local reference oscillator when a no-error indication is generated.

In claim 25, a method for processing code division multiple access signals received through at least one multipath propagation channel to produce at least one relative frequency error estimate, comprising the steps of:

performing an error check on the decoded information bits and to generate an error or no-error indication, wherein the relative frequency error estimate is only used to control the local reference oscillator when a no-error indication is generated.

In claim 31, a transceiver for processing code division multiple access signals received through at least one multipath propagation channel to produce at least one relative frequency error estimate, comprising:

frequency error correctors for correcting frequency errors on each of the despread value streams by, for each of the despread value streams, progressively rotating the phase angle of successive despread values at a rate given by an associated frequency error integral.

In claim 41, a method for processing code division multiple access signals received through at least one multipath propagation channel to produce at least one relative frequency error estimate, comprising:

frequency error correctors for correcting frequency errors on each of the despread value streams by, for each of the despread value streams, progressively rotating the phase angle of successive despread values at a rate given by an associated frequency error integral.

In claim 62, a transceiver for processing code division multiple access signals received through at least one multipath propagation channel to produce at least one relative frequency error estimate, comprising:

wherein the combiner adds the real parts of the per ray frequency error estimates to obtain a real sum and adding the imaginary parts to produce an imaginary sum and computing the two-argument arctangent of the real and imaginary sum.

In claim 66, a method for processing code division multiple access signals received through at least one multipath propagation channel to produce at least one relative frequency error estimate, comprising the steps of:

wherein the combining step includes adding the real parts of the per-ray frequency error estimates to obtain a real sum and adding the imaginary parts to produce an imaginary sum and computing the two-argument arctangent of the real and imaginary sum.

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/24/2007 with respect to claims 15, 30, 73-82 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued on page 2, last paragraph and page 3, paragraph 1 of the Remarks that the Sih reference does not teach or suggest "provide at least two combined frequency error estimates, wherein each of the combined frequency error estimates corresponds to a respectively different one of at least two base station transmitters." Applicant's argument relied on the rationale on page 3, last paragraph of the Remarks that the weighted average is not representative of any of the Rake fingers, and thus each of the combined frequency error estimates of Sih (Rake finger frequency subtracted from the weighted average) does not or cannot correspond to a respective different one of the base station transmitters. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument.

It is noted by examiner that in Fig. 7 of the Sih reference, the subtraction of a Rake finger frequency from the weighted average at the summer produces a combined value (combined frequency error estimate) that involves/requires/is associated with the use of the Rake finger

frequency value in the summation calculation (each Rake finger frequency corresponds to a respective different one of the base station transmitters). In other words, each combined value/combined frequency error estimate involves or corresponds to the Rake finger frequency of each base station transmitter. Examiner takes the position that a Rake finger frequency value in the combined value corresponds to/involves/is associated with a respective one of the base station transmitters. Accordingly, Sih teaches there are at least two summers wherein each one of them combines the a Rake finger frequency with a weighted average to produce a combined frequency error value/estimate and each of the combined frequency error values corresponds to/involves/is associated with a respective Rake finger frequency of a base station transmitter (Fig. 7), and therefore meets the claimed limitations of “provide at least two combined frequency error estimates, wherein each of the combined frequency error estimates corresponds to a respectively different one of at least two base station transmitters” as recited in claim 73.

In light of the foregoing reasons, claims 15, 30, 73-82 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Easton (USP 5,764,687) in view of Sih et al. (USP 6,608,858).

*Conclusion*

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Mew whose telephone number is 571-272-3141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Mew *KM*  
Work Group 2616

*Chi Pham*  
CHI PHAM  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
12/18/17